Research Article

Biochemical and GC-Mass analysis of *Echinococcus granulosus* hydatid cyst fluid components for humans and sheep

Esraa SABEEH^{1,2*}, Nadia K. THAMER¹, Hussain A.M. ALSAADY³

¹College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq. ²College of Pharmacy, University of Misan, Iraq. ³Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Misan, Maysan, Iraq. ^{*}Email: esraasabeeh20@gmail.com

Abstract

This study was conducted to evaluate the chemical and biochemical components of *Echinococcus granulosus* hydatid cyst fluid (HCF) isolated from infected humans and sheep. The study shows significant differences between the potassium, urea, cholesterol, uric acid, and magnesium in the HCF content of humans and sheep. In contrast, no significant differences were found in the total protein, glucose, triglyceride, creatinine, calcium, and sodium. The Urea, magnesium, calcium, creatinine, glucose, and potassium in HCF of sheep was higher than those of humans, whereas, the total protein, uric acid, triglyceride, cholesterol, and sodium content of HCF of humans were higher than in sheep. In (GC-MS), a difference in the number of peaks and components of HCF between humans and sheep was found, where the number of peaks in humans HCF was 25 peaks vs. 43 peaks in sheep.

Keywords: GC-MS analysis, Biochemical contents, Parasite, Human.

Citation: Sabeeh, E.; Thamer, N.K. & Alsaady, H.A.M. 2022 Biochemical and GC-Mass analysis of *Echinococcus granulosus* hydatid cyst fluid components for humans and sheep. Iranian Journal of Ichthyology 9(Special Issue 1, 2022): 406-412.

Introduction

Echinococcus granulosus is a common zoonotic pathogen worldwide (Jenkins et al. 2005; Deplazes et al. 2017). It infects livestock and humans as intermediate hosts and caniids especially dogs as the definitive host, causing hydatid cyst as larval stages in intermediate hosts (Jenkins 2006; Alssady & Al-Quzweeni 2019). This has severe impacts on human and animal health (Sarıözkan & Yalçın 2009; Snabel et al. 2009) and poses a significant economic and public health problem in many parts of the world (Schantz et al. 2003; Sikó et al. 2011), especially in rural areas where dogs and livestock are raised together (Driscoll et al. 2009; Groeneveld et al. 2010).

The hydatid cyst fluids (HCF) contain many biochemical components such as carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, vitamins, electrolytes, and trace elements that may have a role in the metabolism and growth of unilocular hydatid cyst (McManus & Smyth1982; Ozkan & Malazgirt 1992). Biochemical studies are useful in differentiating strain variations of E. granulosus in different countries (Shaafie et al. 1999; Kumaratilake et al. 1979; McManus & Macpherson 1984). Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is considered the most standardization method in analyzing metabolic components since the half of the last century, it used for investigating sugars (DeJongh et al. 1969), amino acids (Gelpi et al. 1969), sterols (Brooks et al. 1968), hormones (Gréen 1969), catecholamines (Anggard & Sedvall 1969), hydroxyl acids (Kuksis & Prioreschi 1967), fatty acids (Niehaus & Ryhage 1968), and aromatics (Coward & Smith 1969). Hence, this study was conducted to evaluate the chemical and biochemical components of E. granulosus HCF isolated from infected humans and sheep.

Materials and Methods

Seven samples of HCF were collected from the liver of



Fig.1. Chromatography compounds in hydatid cyst fluid from sheep diagnosed by GC-MS.



Fig.2. Chromatography compounds in hydatid cyst fluid from Human diagnosed by GC-MS.

infected sheep from Amara central slaughterhouse, Maysan Governorate. In addition, seven samples of human HCF were obtained after surgical removal from patients at Al-Sadder Teaching Hospital. Cyst fluid was aspirated from the cysts using sterile needles in aseptic conditions. Each cyst fluid was centrifuged at 15000rpm at 4°C for 30min. Their supernatants were analyzed for biochemical parameters, including glucose, urea, total protein, creatinine, uric acid, cholesterol, and triglycerides, using a chemistry auto-analyzer (Mindray). In addition, their electrolytes viz. sodium, potassium, magnesium, and calcium were measured using an autoanalyzer (Genex Elyte4). For GC-MS analysis, one and a half ml of each HCF was taken in a cleaned and sterilized tube with some drops of distilled water and immediately transferred to the laboratory of Basrah oil company (Nahran Omer). The HCFs were analyzed by Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS-Qp 2010, Shimadzu, Japan), to examine their chemical compounds, according to Al-Ataby (2022). The student's t-test was used to compare the differences between two groups in SPSS software.

| Biochemical | Units | The concentration of material between Human and sheep | | | |
|---------------|--------|---|---------------------|--------------|---------|
| analysis | | | | | |
| | | Huma | Sheep | t.test value | P-value |
| Total protein | g/L | 1.018 ± 1.614 | 0.464 ± 0.225 | 0.900 | 0.386 |
| Glucose | mg/dl | 41.528±28.549 | 68.428 ± 32.825 | -1.636 | 0.128 |
| Urea | mg/dl | 26.285±10.942 | 65.314 ± 20.672 | -4.415 | 0.001 |
| Uric acid | mg/dl | 4.642±3.144 | 0.961±0.467 | 3.064 | 0.010 |
| Cholesterol | mg/dl | 43.814±37.775 | 10.157 ± 10.256 | 2.275 | 0.050 |
| Triglycerides | mg/dl | 99.442±145.328 | 59.314 ± 91.800 | 0.618 | 0.548 |
| Creatinine | mg/dl | 0.324±0.405 | 0.387±0.332 | 317 | 0.756 |
| Calcium | mmol/L | 8.928±6.739 | 14.628±4.611 | -1.847 | 0.090 |
| Sodium | mmol/L | 142.971±43.269 | 123.428±13.986 | 1.137 | 0.278 |
| Potassium | mg/dl | 4.285 ± 1.181 | 6.785±1.372 | -3.652 | 0.003 |
| Magnesium | mg/dl | 1.058 ± 0.929 | 3.158±1.353 | -3.384 | 0.005 |

Table 1. Biochemical content of hydatid cyst fluids of *E. granulosus* of Humans and Sheeps. (mean±SE, n = 7).

Table 2. Peak, Retention time (RT), and concentration (Area %) of compounds in sheep hydatid cyst fluid by GC-MS.

| Peak | RT | Area% | Name |
|------|--------|-------|--|
| 1 | 4.739 | 1.68 | Propanoic acid |
| 2 | 5.275 | 5.63 | N, N-Dimethylaminoethanol* |
| 3 | 5.832 | 0.78 | N-Ethyl trimethylenediamine |
| 4 | 6.171 | 0.46 | 4-Piperidinamine, N,1-dimethyl |
| 5 | 6.504 | 0.99 | Butanoic acid |
| 6 | 7.020 | 1.73 | 1-Propanamine, 2-methyl-N-(2-methy lpropylidene) |
| 7 | 7.373 | 1.61 | 1H-Pyrrole, 2-methyl-1,3-Diazine |
| 8 | 8.920 | 0.92 | Pyridine, 4-butyl-, 1-oxide |
| 9 | 9.267 | 0.67 | Dimethyl sulfone |
| 10 | 9.348 | 0.82 | Pyrrolidine, Azocine, |
| 11 | 9.518 | 1.60 | Piperidine, 3-methyl- |
| 12 | 9.823 | 2.08 | Butyric acid, 3-pentadecyl ester |
| 13 | 10.706 | 14.70 | Pyrazine* |
| 14 | 11.337 | 1.27 | 1-Butanamine, 2-methyl-N-(2-methyl Nanofin |
| 15 | 11.392 | 0.75 | Pantolactone |
| 16 | 11.487 | 2.85 | Benzeneacetaldehyde |
| 17 | 11.690 | 1.09 | 3-Amino-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo, Pyrrolidine-5-one-2-propionic acid |
| 18 | 11.812 | 1.53 | Piperazine |
| 19 | 12.098 | 2.64 | 1,2-Benzisothiazol-3-amine, TBDMS derivative |
| 20 | 12.213 | 0.87 | Pyrazine, 2-ethyl-3,5-dimethyl- |
| 21 | 12.451 | 2.31 | 4-Propyl-3-thiosemicarbazide, Pyrazine, 2-methyl-5-(1-propenyl) |
| 22 | 12.899 | 1.07 | L-Serine, methyl ester |
| 23 | 13.204 | 2.65 | 4H-Pyran-4-one, 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-methyl- |
| 24 | 13.455 | 0.68 | Hexanoic acid |
| 25 | 13.611 | 1.28 | Ethanone, 1-(4,5-dihydro-2-thiazol |

| Peak | RT | Area% | Name | |
|------|--------|-------|---|--|
| 26 | 13.958 | 4.01 | 2-Pyridinamine, 4,6-dimethyl- | |
| 27 | 14.100 | 1.12 | 2-Pyridinamine, 4,6-dimethyl | |
| 28 | 14.922 | 0.59 | Indolizine, 2-(4-methylphenyl)-Carbamic acid | |
| 29 | 15.743 | 0.61 | Pyrazine, 2-butyl-3,5-dimethyl- | |
| 30 | 15.852 | 3.57 | Ornithine | |
| 31 | 16.089 | 0.63 | (Diisopropylamino)ethanol, N-Ethylpiperazine | |
| 32 | 16.822 | 7.75 | Niacinamide* | |
| 33 | 17.583 | 3.75 | [1,2,4]Triazolo | |
| 34 | 18.099 | 3.40 | 1,4-Anhydro-d-galactitol methyl] guanine | |
| 35 | 18.357 | 1.52 | Naphthalene | |
| 36 | 18.601 | 0.97 | 4-Pyrimidinamine, 2-(methylthio)- Benzene, 1-fluoro-4-nitro- | |
| 37 | 18.730 | 1.83 | 2,4-Imidazolidinedione, 5-(2-methy lpropyl)-, (S)-Moclobemide N,N'- Trimethyleneurea | |
| 38 | 19.185 | 2.36 | 1-Naphthalenemethanamine, Quinoline, 2-ethyl-3-Methyl-4-phenyl-1H- pyrrole | |
| 39 | 22.158 | 1.31 | Scyllo-Inositol, l-Inositol | |
| 40 | 23.136 | 2.75 | n-Hexadecanoic acid | |
| 41 | 24.826 | 0.78 | Octadec-9-enoic acid, Oleic Acid | |
| 42 | 25.023 | 1.05 | Octadecanoic acid | |
| 43 | 32.681 | 9.31 | Cholesterol * | |

Table 2. Continued.

Results

The results showed that the content analysis of the hydatid cyst fluid caused by E. granulosus larvae has differences in its components between sheep and humans (Table 1). results The showed significant differences concentration of potassium, urea, cholesterol, uric acid, and magnesium in HCFs, whereas no significant differences were found in total protein, glucose, triglyceride, creatinine, calcium and sodium. The results also showed that the concentration of total protein, uric acid, triglyceride, cholesterol, and sodium of hydatid cysts fluid isolated from humans was higher than in sheep. The concentration of glucose, urea, magnesium, calcium, creatinine, and potassium in hydatid cysts fluid was higher in sheep (Table 1).

GC-MS results found 43 peaks in sheep hydatid fluid (Fig. 1, Table 2) compared to 25 peaks in human (Fig. 2, Table 3). In sheep, the highest percentage of contents were Pyrazine (14.70%) and Niacinamide (7.75%). It has several effective compounds such as Ornithine (3.57%), N, N-Dimethylaminoethanol (5.63%), and many other fatty acids (Table 2). In human, the compounds were Formamide, DL-Allothreonine, 1,5-Anhydroglucitol, and

Cyanogen chloride as 19.35, 18.93, 19.48, and 4.97%, respectively. In addition, Chloromethyl cyanide (6.60%), Carbonic acid (4.30), cholesterol (3.94%), and many other compounds (Table 3).

Discussion

Some studies have analyzed hydatid cyst fluid's chemical analysis in humans and animals (McManus1981; Çelik 1989). Chemical substances in the hydatid cyst fluid of *E. granulosus* have an essential role in parasites' metabolism and immunological functions (Thompson & Lymbery 1995; Garippa et al. 2004). These chemical compositions can protect and provide nutritional material. Knowledge of parasite nutrition can identify a new way to prevent hydatid disease by changing the nutrient composition of cyst fluid or blocking nutrition, and metabolic pathways of these elements in the cyst are strictly controlled to meet the requirements of parasite growth (Juyi et al. 2013).

The results indicated the variation in concentrations of some biochemicals of HCFs that may be a result of an increase or decrease in this biochemical component, and this may explain the ability of the parasite to convert some harmful components into unharmful to evade the body's

| Peak | RT | Area% | Name | |
|------|--------|-------|---|--|
| 1 | 9.104 | 4.97 | Cyanogen chloride* | |
| 2 | 12.118 | 6.60 | Chloromethyl cyanide* | |
| 3 | 12.349 | 1.44 | Oxirane, trimethyl- N- Benzenediamine, 4-methoxy-N,.al phadimethyl-, ydrochloride Methyl-3,4 methylenedioxyampheta mine | |
| 4 | 13.021 | 0.71 | Butane, 2,2'-thiobis- Diglycerol Pentane, 2-[(1-methylethyl)thio]- | |
| 5 | 14.725 | 4.30 | Carbonic acid* | |
| 6 | 14.949 | 19.35 | Formamide* | |
| 7 | 17.420 | 18.93 | DL-Allothreonine* | |
| 8 | 18.716 | 9.48 | 1,5-Anhydroglucitol* | |
| 9 | 19.626 | 10.14 | Benzene, (2,2-dimethoxyethyl)- | |
| 10 | 19.796 | 0.66 | 2,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid, 3TMS derivative | |
| 11 | 20.047 | 0.73 | Trimethylsilyl [2-(4-chlorophenyl) -4-phenyl-1,3-thiazol-5-yl]acetate 3-Isopropoxy-1,1,1,7,7,7-hexamethy l-3,5,5-tris(trimethylsiloxy)tetra Siloxane Heptasiloxane, 1,1,3,3,5,5,7,7,9,9,11,11,13,13-tetradecamethyl | |
| 12 | 21.289 | 3.00 | Fumaric acid | |
| 13 | 21.391 | 1.10 | Phthalic acid | |
| 14 | 21.629 | 3.68 | Ethane, 1,1'-[oxybis(methylenethio)]bis- Benzeneacetonitrile, 4- fluoro- Benzamide, 3-methoxy-N- methyl- | |
| 15 | 21.778 | 1.39 | Cyclononasiloxane, octadecamethyl- | |
| 16 | 22.437 | 2.52 | 6-(2-Aminophenyl)-1,2,4-triazine-3,5(2H,4H)-dione tritms1,7-Di hexamethyl-1,3,5,7-tetraoxa-2,4,6- trisilaheptane N1-(1 Adamantyl)-4- aminobenzene-1- sulfonamide - | |
| 17 | 23.367 | 0.72 | Cyclononasiloxane | |
| 18 | 23.462 | 1.06 | Benzamide, 3-methoxy-N-methyl- | |
| 19 | 23.794 | 0.60 | Benzothiophene-3-carboxylic acid, 4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-2- (1-adamantoyl amino)-6-methyl-, ethyl ester | |
| 20 | 25.220 | 0.72 | Hexadecanamide | |
| 21 | 25.288 | 0.74 | Benzamide, 3-methoxy-N-(3-methoxybenzoyl)- N-butyl-Benzamide | |
| 22 | 26.741 | 0.59 | Naphthalene-1-sulfonamide, 4-chlor o- N-(adamantan-1-yl) methyl- Bisphenol A, TBDMS derivative | |
| 23 | 26.802 | 2.06 | 9-Octadecenamide, (Z)- | |
| 24 | 27.270 | 0.58 | 1,1,1,5,7,7,7-Heptamethyl-3,3-bis(trimethylsiloxy)tetrasiloxane) | |
| 25 | 32 681 | 3 94 | Cholesterol* | |

Table 3. Peak, Retention time (RT), and concentration (Area %) of compounds of Human HCF by GC-MS.

immunity or some medication (Agosin & Repotto 1967; Nash & Al-Janabi 1980; Aziz 1987; Bowles & McManus 1993). The results showed differences in components of HCFs; these findings do not agree with McManus (1981), who found the similarity between the components of the HCF in sheep and a human.

In GC-MS analysis, the results showed a difference in the number of peaks and components of cystic fluid between humans and sheep, 25 vs. 43 peaks. These differences between the HCF components from different hosts were also reported in previous studies (Jeffs 1988; Huang & Xu 1994). This may be due to the absence of some biochemical receptors in the cyst membrane (Juyi et al. 2013), and

our finding does not agree with Aziz et al. (2011) and Al-Ataby (2022). In addition, the differences may be due to the variation of *E. granulosus* strains or the intermediate host, animal age, infection period, history of treatment, geographical location, and food or food behavior. The GC-MS technique has been used before in insects (Thamer 2012), essential oils of some seeds (Al-Maliki 2016), fatty acids, and essential oils of stevia (Al-Tamimi 2021), and bioactive substances extracted from some algae (Khalaf 2012).

References

- Agosin, M. & Repotto, Y. 1967. Studying the metabolism of *Echinococcus granulosus* IX- Protein synthesis in scolex. Experimental Parasitology 21(2): 195.
- Al-Ataby, F.H. 2022. Molecular Detection of Cystic Echinococcosis Among Different Host and Study the Effect of Some Aqueous Plant Extracts in *Vitro*. Ph.D. Thesis. College of Veterinary Medicine. University of Basrah.189 p.
- Al-Maliki, R. & A.H.R. 2016. Study of effect extraction methods on components of essential oils for some types of Umbelliferae family by GC-MS. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Basra College of Agriculture. 145 p.
- Alsaady, H.; Mhouse, A. & Al-Quzweeni, H.A. 2019.
 Molecular Study of *Echinococcus granulosus* in Misan
 Province, South of Iraq. Indian Journal of Public
 Health Research & Development 10(9): 1046-1050.
- Al-Tamimi, B.E.T. 2021. Separation and Diagnosis of Some Sweetening and Bioactive Compounds from Stevia Rebaudiana Using Different Methods. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Basra, Collage of Agriculture. 161 p.
- Anggård, E. & Sedvall, G. 1969. Gas chromatography of catecholamine metabolites using electron capture detection and mass spectrophotometry.
- Aziz, L.J. 1987. Comparative study of the chemical fluid composition of liver hydatid cysts in some intermediate hosts. M.Sc. Thesis College of the Science University of Salahaddin, Iraq. 142 p.
- Bowles, J. & McManus, D.P. 1993. Molecular variation in *Echinococcus*. International Journal Parasitology 22: 734-765.
- Brooks, C.J.; Horning, E.C. & Young, J.S. 1968. Characterization of sterols by gas chromatographymass spectrometry of the trimethylsilyl ethers Lipids 3(5): 391-402.
- Çelik, C. 1989. Biochemical composition of human hydatid cyst fluid. Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences 7: 267-271.
- Coward, R.F. & Smith, P. 1969. The gas chromatography of aromatic acids as their trimethylsilyl derivatives, including applications to urine analysis. Journal of Chromatography A 45: 230-243.
- DeJongh, D.C.; Radford; Hribar, T.; Hanessian J.D.;Bieber, S.; Dawson, M.G. & Sweeley, C.C. 1996.Analysis of trimethylsilyl derivatives of carbohydrates

by gas chromatography and mass spectrometry. Journal of the American Chemical Society 91: 1728-1740.

- Deplazes, P.; Rinaldi, L.; Alvarez, R.C.A.; Torgerson, P.R.; Harandi, M.F.; Romig, T.; Antolova, D.; Schurer, J.M.; Lahmar, S.; Cringoli, G.; Magambo, J.; Thompson, R.C. & Jenkins, E.J. 2017. Global distribution of alveolar and cystic echinococcosis. Advances in Parasitology 95:315-493.
- Driscoll, C.A.; Macdonald, D. & 'Brien, S. 2009. From wild animals to domestic pets, an evolutionary view of domestication. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106: S99718.
- Garippa, G.A. & Scala, A. 2004. Cystic echinococcosis in Italy from the 1950s to today. Parassitologia 46: 387-391.
- Gelpi, E.; Koenig, W.A; Gibert, J. & Oro, J. 1969. Combined gas chromatography-mass spectrometry of amino acid derivatives. Journal of Chromatographic Science 7: 604-613.
- Gréen, K. 1969. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry of O-methyl oxime derivatives of prostaglandins. Chemistry and Physics of Lipids 3(3): 254-272.
- Groeneveld, F.; Enstra, A.; Eding, H.; Toro, M.A.; Scherf,B. & Pilling, D. 2010. Genetic diversity in farm animals a review. Animal Genetics 41: 6-31.
- Huang, Y., & Xu, X.Z. 1994. Comparison of cyst fluid biochemical characteristic from sheep and cattle in Xinjiang. Chinese Journal of Zoology 10: 27-31.
- Jeffs, S.A & Arme, C. 1988. *Echinococcus granulosus* (Cestoda): uptake of L-amino acids by secondary hydatid cysts. Parasitology 96: 145-156.
- Jenkins, D.J.; Romig, T. & Thompson, R.C.A. 2005. Emergence/re-emergence of *Echinococcus* spp. A global update. International Journal for Parasitology 35: 1205-1219.
- Juyi, L.I.; Yan, J.U.; Wang, X.; Zhang, J.L.I.; Mingxing, Z. & Wei, Z. 2013. Analysis of the chemical components of hydatid fluid from *Echinococcus* granulosus. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical 46(5): 605-610.
- Khalaf, A.K. 2012. In vitro and in vivo studies of secondary metabolites extracted from some algae against protoscolices of *Echinococcus granulosus* in laboratory mice Balb/c strain in comparison to

albendazole activity, Thesis, University of Basra, Collage of education. 155 p.

- Kukis, A. & Prioreschi, P. 1967. Isolate Krebs cycle acids from tissues for gas chromatography. Analytical Biochemistry 19(3): 468-80.
- Kumaratilake, L.M.; Thompson, R.C.A. & Dunsmore, J.D. 1979. Intraspecific variation in *Echinococcus*: a biochemical approach. Z Parasitenkd 60: 291-294.
- Mcmans, D.P. & Macpherson, C.N.L. 1984. Strain characterization in the Hydatid organism, *Echinococcus granulosus*. Current status and new perspective. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology 78: 193-198.
- McManus, D.P. 1981. A biochemical study of adult and cystic stages of *Echinococcus granulosus* of human and animal origin from Kenya. Journal of Helminthology 55: 21-27.
- McManus, D.P. & Smyth, J. 1982. Carbohydrate metabolism in protoscoleces of *Echinococcus* granulosus (horse and sheep strain) and *Echinococcus* multilocularis. Parasitology 84: 351-366.
- Nash, M.I. & Al- Janabi, B.M. 1980. Studies on some biochemical constituents of hydatid cyst fluid. Iraqi Journal Veterinary Science 1-41.
- Niehaus, W.G & Ryhage, J.R. 1968. Determination of double bond positions in polyunsaturated fatty acids by combination gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Analytical Chemistry 40: 1840-1847.
- Ozkan, Z. & Malazgirt, A. 1992. Trace elements in hydatid disease. Journal of Trace Elements and Electrolytes in Health and Disease 6: 67-70.
- Sarıözkan, S. & Yalçın, C. 2009. Estimating the production losses due to cystic echinococcosis in ruminants in Turkey. Veterinary Parasitology 163(4): 330-334.
- Schantz, P.M.; Wang, H.; Qiu, J.; Liu, F.J.; Saito, E.; Emshoff, A. & Delker, C. 2003. Echinococcosis on the Tibetan Plateau: prevalence and risk factors for cystic and alveolar echinococcosis in Tibetan populations in Qinghai Province, China. Parasitology 127(S1): S109-S120.
- Sheafie, I.A.; Khan, A.H.; Rambabu, K. 1999. Biochemical profiles of hydatid cyst fluids of *E. granulosus* of human and animal origin in Libya. Journal of Helminthology 73: 253-258.

Sik, S.; Deplazes, P.; Ceica, C.; Tivadar, C.S.; ogolin, I.;

Popescu, S. & Cozma, V. 2011. *Echinococcus ulilocularis* in south-eastern Europe (Romania). Parasitology 10: 103-107.

- Snabel, V.; Altınta; N.; D'Amelio, S.; Nakao, M.; Romig, T.; Yolasımaz, A.; GüneK, T.M.; Busi, M.; Hüttner Sevcova, M.D.; Ito, A. & Dubinsky, P. 2009. Cystic echinococcosis in Turkey: genetic variability and first record of the country's pig strain (G7). Parasitology Research 105: 145-154.
- Thamer, N. K. 2012. Study Geographical distribution and sessional occurrence of some species of blue files Diptera: Calliphoridae and identification by gas chromatography technique in Basrah. PhD. Thesis. Faculty of Education. University of Basrah. 193 p.
- Thompson, R.C.A. & Lymbery, J. 1995. *Echinococcus* and hydatid disease. 1st ed. Wallingford, CAB International. 1995.